UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/071,902	02/08/2002	Christopher B. Hewett	0320	2268	
	7590 08/05/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI		EXAMINER  BUTLER, MICHAEL E  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3653		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			BUTLER, MICHAEL E		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22515-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3653		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/05/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/071,902	HEWETT, CHRISTOPHER B.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL E. BUTLER	3653				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>MICHAEL E. BUTLER</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jerry Spignal</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 July 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <i>None</i> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)∐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant was trying to determine what was being done in the application. The application has been forwarded without the collection of extension fees. An extension was sent with the amendment for another application. Initially, the amendment and extension had been scanned into the other application number, then later scanned into the instant application. Determination was being made on whether the extension from the other case was acceptable.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/MICHAEL E. BUTLER/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36 Examiner's signature, if requi					